Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1079 HB Title: No-contact order/trafficking	Agency:	055-Administrative Office of the Courts
--	---------	---

Part I: Estimates

ſ	X	No	Fiscal	Impac
- 1	' `	110	1 iscai	impac

	The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be
	subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.
(Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:
Г	If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal

form Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact Kelly Leonard	Phone: 360-786-7147	Date: 01/10/2017
Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 01/12/2017
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 01/12/2017
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Request # 1079 HB-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

A new section would be added to RCW 9A.40 that would allow a court to determine the necessity of imposing a no-contact order when a defendant has been charged by citation, complaint or information with an offense involving trafficking under RCW 9A.40.100.

A new section would be added to RCW 9A.88 that would allow a court to determine the necessity of imposing a no-contact order when a defendant has been charged by citation, complaint or information with an offense involving trafficking under RCW 9A.88.070 and RCW 9A.88.080.

There have been an average of 128 orders per year that this legislation would affect. Per information from staff, only 5-10 minutes would be added to each arraignment. Therefore, the judicial impact is minimal.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

II. C - Expenditures

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact